

The first type of language:

- Programmer: Ada Lovelace (1843)
- High-level: FORTRAN (1954)
- Functional: Lisp (1958)
- Object-oriented: Simula (1962)
- Logic: Prolog (1972)
- Concurrent: Concurrent Pascal (1974)
- Concurrent Actor: PLASMA (1975)
- Scripting: Rexx (1982)
- Multi-paradigm: Oz (1995)

Computation Model:

- Describes a language's semantics.
- A set of coding techniques to solve problems.
- A set of reasoning techniques to prove some properties of a program.

Declarative Computation Model:

- Pure functions (no side effects).
- Stateless (vs. imperative which is stateful.)
- Used in functional, logic, and concurrent programming.

Programming Language: Syntax + Semantics.

Language Syntax:

- Defines what is a legal program vs. what is not.
- Defined by a set of grammar rules.
- In programming languages: sentences \rightarrow statements, words \rightarrow tokens.

Language Semantics:

- Defines what a program does.
- Should be simple, yet expressive.
- Approaches: *Formal Calculus* (lambda, pi, predicate), *Kernel Language* (derived subset), and *Abstract Machine* (Turing machine, details execution).

Lambda Calculus:

- Syntax: $e ::= v \mid \lambda v. e \mid (e \ e)$.
- Semantics: $(\lambda v. E \ M) \Rightarrow E\{M/v\}$. This is β -reduction. If expression M is applied to function $\lambda v. E$, return E where all instances of v in E are replaced with M .
 - *Example*: $(\lambda x. x \ y)$ becomes $x\{x/y\}$ or y .
- Currying: Since λ -calculus only supports one parameter per function, you can use composition to simulate it.
 - *Example*: Addition (using currying) would be: $\lambda a. \lambda b. (a + b)$.

- Order of Evaluation: If an expression can be evaluated two ways and terminated, it will have the same result. (Church-Rosser Theorem, called *confluence*.)
 - *Normal Order*: Outer expressions first. Reduce the expression then reduce the result.
 - *Applicative Order*: Inner expressions first. Reduce the arguments then reduce expression.
 - For every expression, if any order terminates, normal order will too.
- Bound & Free Variables: For the expression $\lambda v.e$, all variables v in e are *bound*. All other variables are *free*.
- α -renaming: To avoid capturing free variables during β -reduction, rename colliding bound variables.
 - *Example*: $(\lambda x.\lambda y.(x\ y)\ y)$ would become $\lambda y.(y\ y)$ without renaming, which is wrong because x (which was unbound to the inner λ) became y (which is bound). But if the inner λ had its variable alpha renamed from y to z , $(\lambda x.\lambda y.(x\ y)\ y)$ would become $(\lambda x.\lambda z.(x\ z)\ y)$ or $\lambda z.(y\ z)$, which is correct.
- Combinator: An expression without free variables.
 - *Recursion (Y)*: $\lambda f.(\lambda x.(f\ (x\ x))\ \lambda x.(f\ (x\ x)))$ for normal order or $\lambda f.(\lambda x.(f\ \lambda y.((x\ x)\ y))\ \lambda x.(f\ \lambda y.((x\ x)\ y)))$ for applicative order.
- η -reduction: $\lambda x.(E\ x)$ can be replaced with E as long as x is not free in E (or bound to the λ we are removing).
- Boolean Logic:
 - *True*: $\lambda x.\lambda y.x$
 - *False*: $\lambda x.\lambda y.y$
 - *If*: $\lambda cond.\lambda then.\lambda else.((cond\ then)\ else)$
- Church Numerals:
 - 0: $\lambda f.\lambda x.x$
 - 1: $\lambda f.\lambda x.(f\ x)$
 - 2: $\lambda f.\lambda x.(f\ (f\ x))$
 - n: $\lambda f.\lambda x.(f\ \dots\ (f\ x)\dots)$
 - Successor: $\lambda n.\lambda f.\lambda x.(f\ ((n\ f)\ x))$
 - Is Zero: $\lambda n.((n\ \lambda x.false)\ true)$

Oz Programming Language:

- Variables are immutable.
 - Variable identifier: What you type.
 - Store variable: Part of the memory system.
- Lists: $[1\ 2\ 3]$ or $1|2|3|nil$ or $'|(1\ '|(2\ '|(3\ nil)))$. The $'|'$ is cons.
- Top-down Programming: Break a complex task into smaller tasks.
- Iterative Programming: Starts with an initial state, and continually transforms the state until a condition is met.
 - *Schema*:

```

fun {Iterate S IsDone Transform}
  if {IsDone S} then
    S
  else S1 in
    S1 = {Transform S}
    {Iterate S1 IsDone Transform}
  end
end

```

- Constant size execution stack (with tail recursion optimization).
- *Newton's Method (square root)*:

```

fun {Sqrt X}
  Guess = 1.0 in {SqrtIter Guess X}
end

```

```

fun {SqrtIter Guess X}
  if {GoodEnough Guess X} then
    Guess
  else
    {SqrtIter {Improve Guess X} X}
  end
end

```

```

fun {Improve Guess X}
  (Guess + X/Guess)/2.0
end

```

```

fun {GoodEnough Guess X}
  {Abs X - Guess*Guess} / X < 0.00001
end

```

- Local Procedures: If a function is only used inside another, you don't need to declare the function outside.
- Higher-order Programming: Having first-class procedures (closure = procedure + environment). Foundation of OOP and component-based programming.
 - *Abstraction*: Creating local procedures. Any value can be wrapped inside a procedure. Not in most imperative languages (C, Pascal), because no way to encode the environment where a function is called.

- *Genericity*: Procedures as arguments. Examples: reduce, map, filter, sum.
- *Instantiation*: Procedures as outputs.
- *Embedding*: Procedures in data structures. Useful in lazy evaluation, modules, and classes.
- Lazy Evaluation: Calculate values only when needed (opposite of eager evaluation). Use cases: infinite list, streams. Haskell is lazy by default.
 - *Lazy Sieve of Eratosthenes*:

```
fun lazy {LFilter Xs F}
  case XS
  of nil then nil
  [] X|Xr then
    if {F X} then
      X|{LFilter Xr F}
    else
      {LFilter Xr F}
    end
  end
end
```

```
fun lazy {Sieve Xs}
  X|Xr = Xs in
  X | {Sieve {LFilter Xr fun {$ Y} Y mod X \= 0 end }}
end
```

```
fun {Primes} {Sieve {Ints 2}} end
```

- *Haskell Version*:

```
ints :: (Num a) => a -> [a]
ints n = n : ints (n+1)
```

```
sieve :: (Integral a) => [a] -> [a]
sieve (x:xr) = x:sieve (filter (\y -> (y `mod` x /= 0)) xr)
```

```
primes :: (Integral a) => [a]
primes = sieve (ints 2)
```

- *High Throughput with Lazy Buffers*: When the user asks for an item, the buffer gives the user an item and asks the producer for another.

```

fun {Buffer3 In N}
  End = thread
    {List.drop In N}
  end
  fun lazy {Loop In End}
    E2 = thread End.2 end
    In.1|{Loop In.2 E2}
  end in
  {Loop In End}
end

```

Data Types:

- Defined by a mathematical algebra (set of objects + set of operations).
- A set of operations defines Abstract Data Types (ADTs).
 - Users only interact with the abstract part of a data type (aka. operations/API).
- Type Strength:
 - *Weak*: Representational exposure. (C strings are just an array of chars that end in '\0'.)
 - *Strong Dynamic*: Variables types are known at run-time. (Oz, Python)
 - Fast prototyping. Modularity. Expressive.
 - *Strong Static*: Variables types are known at compile-time. (C++, Java)
 - Improved error-catching. Efficient. Secure. Partial program verification.
 - Not all programs are just static or dynamic. Contravariance, covariance, and type-casting.
- Type Checking: Making sure the types and operations are valid.
 - *Abstract Interpretation*: The user gives partial information (types of variables) to the compiler.
 - *Type Inference*: The compiler deduces all types implicitly. (ML, Haskell)

Secure Language:

- Secure: Well-defined and controllable properties, independent of other code.
- Capability: A language entity (ticket) that allows a user to perform an action.
 - A secure language is built on capabilities.
 - All values are capabilities (numbers, functions, strings).
 - Developed from operating system research.
- Declarative Operation: Independent, stateless, and deterministic.
 - Declarative components are good because they can be independently tested.
 - Declarative components are easier to reason about.
 - Declarative operations compose to create other declarative operations.

Functor:

- A container that holds a value.
- Map: `fmap :: (a -> b) -> f a -> f b`

- Laws:
 - `fmap id = id`
 - `fmap (f . g) = fmap f . fmap g`
- Preserve container structure.

Monads:

- A way of representing side effects.
- Binding: `(>>=) :: ma -> (a -> mb) -> mb`
- Returning: `return :: a -> ma`
- IO, Lists (`[]`), and Maybe are monads.
- Laws:
 - `return a >>= k = k a`
 - `m >>= return = m`
 - `xs >>= return . f = fmap f xs`
 - `m >>= (\x -> k x >>= h) = (m >>= k) >>= h`

```
lc3 = [(x,y) | x <- [1..10], y <- [1..x], x+y <= 10]
```

```
lc3' = do x <- [1..10]
```

```
  y <- [1..x]
```

```
  True <- return (x+y <= 10)
```

```
  return (x,y)
```

Guards in list comprehensions assume that fail in the List monad returns an empty list.

```
lc3" = [1..10] >>= (\x ->
```

```
  [1..x] >>= (\y ->
```

```
    return (x+y <= 10) >>=
```

```
      (\b -> case b of True -> return (x,y); _ -> fail "")))
```

- Do Syntax:
 - `do e1 ; e2 = e1 >>= _ -> e2`
 - `do p <- e1 ; e2 = e1 >>= \p -> e2`

Function composition:

Given mathematical functions: $f(x) = x^2$, $g(x) = x+1$

Lambda Calculus:

A unified language to manipulate and reason about functions

$f(x) = x^2$

Lambda x, x^2

Represents the same f function, except it is anonymous

To represent the function evaluation $f(2) = 4$, we use the following ???????

Syntax of a λ -calculus expression is as follows:

$e :=$ v \rightarrow variable
 $|\ \lambda v.e$ \rightarrow functional abstraction
 $|\ (e * e)$ \rightarrow function application

The semantics of a λ -calc expression is called beta-reduction

$(\lambda x, E\ M) \Rightarrow E(M/x)$

Where we alpha-rename the lambda abstraction E if necessary to avoid capturing free vars in M

Note: the λ of a thing with itself is a special case and can be reduced.

ex) $(\lambda y * y, \lambda y * y) \Rightarrow \lambda y * y$

type this up instead later

Combinators

A lambda calculus expression with *no free variables* is called a *combinator*. For example:

I:	$\lambda x.x$	(Identity)
App:	$\lambda f.\lambda x.(f\ x)$	(Application)
C:	$\lambda f.\lambda g.\lambda x.(f\ (g\ x))$	(Composition)
L:	$(\lambda x.(x\ x))\ \lambda x.(x\ x)$	(Loop)
Cur:	$\lambda f.\lambda x.\lambda y.((f\ x)\ y)$	(Currying)
Seq:	$\lambda x.\lambda y.(\lambda z.y\ x)$	(Sequencing--normal order)
ASeq:	$\lambda x.\lambda y.(y\ x)$	(Sequencing--applicative order)

where y denotes a *thunk*, i.e., a lambda abstraction wrapping the second expression to evaluate.

The meaning of a combinator is always the same independently of its context.

C. Varela 7

The rest of the notes are gone and can therefore not be collated